## Mizmorim

## Lesson 5 - Mizmor 1: Happiness = Goodness

## Goal:

Students will gain experience with the following skills:

1. Defining important words by comparing their uses in many different pesukim.
2. Finding poetic devices such as alliteration, assonance, parallelism, and metaphor.
3. Breaking down the structure of a Mizmor.
4. Summarizing the main idea of a Mizmor.
5. Showing how the poetic devices and structure effectively convey the message of a Mizmor.
Students will also discuss the content of the Mizmor and think about how its message can apply to their own lives.

## Activity:

1. What is the heading for Mizmor 1? There is none; it is an anonymous Mizmor. In fact, the first two as well as the last five Mizmorim of Tehillim are all anonymous. While most anonymous Mizmorim within Tehillim are simply continuations of the Mizmorim that precede them, which do have titles, these Mizmorim at the beginning and end of Tehillim stand alone. They form an introduction and conclusion to the entire book. What do you expect to find in an introduction? An introduction should say something about the theme of the rest of the book.
Write this quote on the board:

We see that this Mizmor is not only first but also foremost, "the most praiseworthy of all the Mizmorim." As we read it, let us think about what makes it so special and what it tells us about the entire book of Tehillim.
2. Have a student read the Mizmor out loud. Encourage him to read it with slowly trying to bring out its poetic qualities. You may have to demonstrate. Have another student read it in English, if necessary. Then ask, What is the main idea or message of this Mizmor? Try to summarize it in one sentence. It discusses good and evil, reward and punishment, giving in to peer pressure... It encourages the reader to stay away from evil and desire Torah because that will bring stability and success in the end. This seems to be a basic and simple message that we were able to sum up in one sentence. Why didn't the writer just write one simple sentence? What does the poem add that is missing in our summary? How does the poem succeed in more effectively conveying its message? See if students are able to find and appreciate any of the poetic devices used in this Mizmor. Once they have exhausted their creativity begin a line by line analysis.

## 3. Line by Line Analysis

Have a student read and explain Pasuk 1. Then analyze the Pasuk further using the leading questions below. Do the same for each Pasuk. Of course, you do not need to use these exact question in this order. It may even be preferable to ask a more general question like, What do you notice about this Pasuk? This will give the class a chance to develop reading skills on their own. If they don't see all the points then use more specific questions.

Read the first three words and ask students what sounds they hear. They should recognize the alliteration of $ש$ and assonance of $\kappa$. Notice how similar these three words are, especially אששר and אשרי. What does this alliteration accomplish? Besides being aesthetically pleasing to the ear, it also grabs the listener's attention. It sets up the expectation of a well presented and thought out statement about the happy man - surely something everyone wants to hear. Why does the writer choose instead of איש or גבר or אדם? Besides adding to the alliteration, איש also has more specific connotation that just "a person." Look up the three pesukim which contain the word on the handout, "People in Verse 1," and their contexts. In all three, means someone who is steadfast, strong, and courageous. The Mizmor is not addressed to the person who is already a צדיק but rather to any person who is resolute about doing what is right as he faces difficult choices in life.

| - |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

How many phrases are in the rest of Pasuk 1 to describe the happy man? Three. Write them out on different lines on the board. Notice the parallelism between them. What words are parallel? Circle the nouns and underline the verbs - השעים - חטאים - לצים . What does mean? After suggestions from students have them look up the pesukim on the source sheet. רשע violates various בין אדם לחבירו laws ranging from lying, borrowing without paying back, returning hatred for a favor, to taking advantage of the weak, stealing, and killing. What does mean? See source sheet. The root as a verb means to miss a target. חטאים require guidance from God when they have veered from the right path. All people transgress sometimes whether by mistake or because they are just not so careful. But the חַטָּא is not as bad as the רשעׁ. Note that in in in the noun form which usually indicates an occupation like סנגָּרָּר or or occasionally, חַטָּא sins regularly. What are the characteristics of the לץ? See source sheet. לץ is compared with fools and contrasted with חכם. He is haughty and makes fun of everything. Although his cynicism and foolishness can cause harm in the long run, he does not actually go out and do anything bad and so is not as bad as the חטא or רשע. Can you explain why they are in this order? The Mizmor praises the man who does not walk with the wicked; and not only that, he also does not stand with the transgressor; and what's more, he won't even sit with the fool. Do you see a progression in the verbs? The verbs go from activity to stasis - from walk to stand to sit. Not only does the happy man not go out seeking evil, he does not even stay in a path that will lead to sin. Not only that, he does not even sit passively doing nothing bad but also not being productive. Notice that the verb comes before the noun in the first verset but after the noun in the next two. Perhaps this emphasizes the movement of the wicked who is always looking for his next victim while the sinner and fool are more passive.
(Some commentators such as Ibn Ezra explain in reverse that the verbs imply the successive steps in the career of the wicked from simply following suggestions of the wicked, to staying consistently on the sinner's path, to finally sitting with and being one of them. This is also valid and if suggested by a student should be recognized as such.)
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(ב) כִּי אִם בְּתוֹרַת יְדֹוֹדָד חֶפְצוֹ

The next Pasuk goes on to describe in positive terms what the happy person does do. By delaying this description until Pasuk 2, a greater sense of suspense is created to know exactly who is the happy person. He desires Torah and recites it all the time. The verbs here continue the progression begun in Pasuk 1 - walk, stand, sit, (desire - there is no actual verb in this verset), recite/meditate. Can you find the parallelism in this Pasuk? (יהגה יומם ולילה while תורת ה' is expanded to תפצו is parallel to תורתו The first half says in general terms that God's Torah is his desire, but the second half details the specific way he fulfills that desire - by reciting it by day and by night.
(ג) וְהָיָה כְּעֵץ שָׁתוּל עַל פַּלְגֵי מָיִּם אֲשֶׁר
פִּרְיוֹ ?ִּתּן בְּעִּתּוֹ
ְָעָלֵהוּ לֹא ?ִּבּוֹל

What does Pasuk 3 describe? The reward or end result of keeping away from evil and following the Torah. Notice that the progression of verbs from most active to most stationary continues here. The end result of someone who does not run after evil but rather sits and meditates on Torah is that he have stability like a permanently rooted tree. This stability and the happiness and success which it engenders it not an external reward as much as the automatic effect of being good just as a tree well-planted by a stream will necessarily produce. (Meir Weiss brings further proof along the lines of this interpretation based on comparison with Jeremiah 17:5-8. See bibliography.) What poetic device is used here? Simile. How would you break up this Pasuk? It has the same structure as Pasuk 1 - a noun phrase followed by אשר followed by three descriptions. Do you see a progression in the three descriptions? They go from good to better to best. This tree gives fruit in its season, as any good tree should. Not only that, its leaves never whither. In fact, it is prospers in all ways. To whom or what does the last phrase refer? Some students will say it refers to the tree. Others will say it refers to the overall success of the person. Perhaps it is purposely ambiguous and can apply to both at the same time. This serves to ease the listener out of the metaphor. Does the imagery in this metaphor remind you of anything in Humash? Gan Eden. The beginning of Tehillim is similar to the beginning of Humash. Also the steady stream of water may symbolize the Torah that the upright man recites all the time.

> (ד) לֹא כֵן הָרְשָׁעִים כִּי אִם כַּמּמֹץ אֲשֶׁר תְִּּּפֶּנוּוּרוּחַ:

What does this Pasuk describe? The doomed fate of the wicked. What poetic device does it use? It uses the same agricultural simile as in the previous Pasuk. The wicked are like the chaff of the wheat which is blown away during threshing. This is completely opposite from the well-planted tree. How many phrases were there describing the reward of the wicked in Pasuk 3? Four. How many phrases are there in this Pasuk to describe the punishment of the wicked? One. Why? This reinforces the substantiality of the righteous versus the fleetingness of the wicked person.

What two nouns in the Pasuk have we already seen beforehand? חטאים and were mentioned in Pasuk 1. What kind of people are mentioned here explicitly for the first time? צדיקים. We even find a "council of righteous" reminding us of the "council of
wicked" in Pasuk 1. Why does this Pasuk repeat elements from Pasuk 1? Pasuk 1 set up a scene where a single individual is surrounded by groups of wicked people, sinners, and fools who are luring him to join their ranks. Although it may be difficult, the righteous man sticks his ground and follows the Torah. In Pasuk 5, the lonely righteous man has been successful, bore fruit, and gathered around him a whole group of righteous people. Now it is the sinners who are unable to stand in the council of justice and righteousness. The tables have turned. Can you think of examples from modern history of individuals who were able to reverse an evil in society by staying their ground and doing what they knew was right? Discuss the accomplishments of Rosa Parks, Mahatma Gandhi, Nelson Mandella.
(ו) בִּי יוֹדֵעַ ְְדֹדָד דֶּרֶךְ צַדִּיקִים וְדֶרֶךְ רְשָׁעִים תּאבֵד :
This is an example of antithetical parallelism or תקבולת ניגודית. However, for the parallelism to be exact we would expect to find "and God hates the way of the wicked." Instead we find a passive verb, "the way of the wicked is lost." The wicked person is not given the dignity of having any relationship with God, not even a negative one. He is simply blown away in the wind and forgotten. (Compare this to Rambam's view of reward and punishment in Mishneh Torah Hilkhot Teshubah, ch. 8.)
Pasuk 5 opened with wicked and closed with righteous. This verse opens with righteous and closes with wicked. This creates a chiastic structure (ABBA) where righteous is at the center.

This structure also applies to the Mizmor as a whole. The transition phrases and כי כי אם כן in Pesukim 2 and 4 are key words in this Mizmor. They do not occur anywhere else in Tehillim and they serve to emphasize the difference between good and bad by placing them in stark contrast. These terms are placed at the major turning points in the Mizmor. The first כי אי in Pasuk 2 marks the transition from what the happy person does not do to what he does do. לא כן and the second כי אם in Pasuk 4 mark the transition from the end result of the righteous person to the end of the wicked people. The Mizmor begins with mention of the actions of the wicked and ends with description of their doom. In the middle of the Mizmor is a description of the actions of the righteous and his prosperity. The righteous man is the center of attention while the actions and fate of the wicked is only mentioned to provide contrast. This chiastic structure (wicked - righteous righteous - wicked) can be seen graphically in this chart:

4. Application to life

Is the basic premise of this Mizmor actually true in real life? Do the righteous always prosper and the wicked always suffer? Of course in the short run wicked may prosper and the upright man can feel lonely and threatened. This reflects the feeling in Pasuk 1. But the Mizmor encourages us to look to the long term when truth and goodness will prevail. The corruption of communism lasted for a long time but it could not stand up to the freedom of democracy in the long run. The most successful corporations are those with solid financial grounding and which truly provide for their customers. Companies that cheat their customers or exaggerate their earnings can last for a while; but, soon enough they vanish into thin air. (Cite Enron or whatever corporate scandal is happening while you are teaching this.) The criminal can make a few successful robberies. But he will always have to be looking over his back, he can never relax. The happy person follows Torah, does what is right, and thereby achieves stability, comfort, and prosperity.
Rober Alter summarizes the main message of this Mizmor as follows:
The essence of wrongdoing is to miss the mark, to pursue foolish or unattainable objects of desire that will lead only to frustration, while the man whose delight is in the Lord's teaching knows the art of sitting still in the right place, of finding fulfillment within the limits of law and of his own human condition. (The Art of Biblical Poetry, p. 117)
The message of this Mizmor correlates with Mishna, Pirke Abot 4:1. The strong person is not he who goes out and conquers cities but rather one who can control his will. The rich person does not run after endless wealth but is happy with his lot.

בן זומא אומר איזהו חכם הלומד מכל אדם שנאמר (תהלים קיט:צט) מכל מלמדי השכלתי
איזהו גבור הכובש את יצרו שנאמר (משלי טז :לב) טוב ארך אפים מגבור ומושל ברוחו מלוכד עיר איזהו עשיר השמח בחלקו שנאמר (תהלים קכח:ב) יגיע כפיך כי תאכל אשריך וטוב לך אשריך בעולם

## Additional Discussion:

The midrash here also notices that the wicked are not directly described but only in terms of what the righteous person does not do. The midrash explains that David learned from Humash that it is always better to speak about positive things and let the negative be inferred indirectly. This allows one to focus on the good.

מדרש תהלים מזמור א:ג
[אשרי האיש אשר לא הלך. זהו שאמר הכתוב] בצדק כל אמרי פי אין בהם נפתל ועקש (משלי ח ח), אין בהם לא קפדנות ולא עקמומות, מצינו שעיקם הכתוב שתים ושלש תיבות, שלא להוציא דבר מגונה מפיו שנאמר מן הבהמה הטהורה ומן הבהמה אשר איננה טהורה (בראשית ז ח) , אמר ר' יודן בר מנשה אף כשבא לפתוח
 מעלה גרה (ויקרא יא ד), ואת החזיר כי לא מעלה גרה, אין כתיב כאן, אלא כי מפריס פרי פרסה (ויקרא י"א זי ז), אמר דוד אף הקב"ה העיד עלי ואמר בקש ה' לו איש כלבבו (שמואל א' יג יד), וכינה שמו לבוראו, מה בוראו לא הוציא דיבור מגונה, אף דוד כן, היה לו לומר ארור האיש אשר הלך בעצת רשעים, או אשרי האיש אשר הלך בעצת צדיקים, ולא אמר אלא אשרי האיש אשר לא הלך בעצת רשעים.
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